



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Safety Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon, Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5443 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 06.09.2021 has sought information regarding the account head of the Public authority from which the payment of sample fee is being paid and detail of funds received for the purpose during last 10 years alongwith audit reports of the audit relating to these funds as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Food Safety Officer O/o Civil Surgeon, Fatehgarh Sahib. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.10.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Fatehgarh Sahib. Both the parties are absent. The appellant vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The Commission has received a copy of the letter through email from the PIO vide which it has been informed that the point-wise information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 21.10.2021, 28.10.2021 and again on 18.05.2022. The PIO has also sent a copy of the reply to the Commission which has been taken on record.

Having gone through the RTI application and reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Safety Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5444 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Anil Kumar, Food Safety Officer, Mohali for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 06.09.2021 has sought information regarding the account head of the Public authority from which the payment of sample fee is being paid and detail of funds received for the purpose during last 10 years alongwith audit reports of the audit relating to these funds as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Food Safety Officer O/o Civil Surgeon, Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.10.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the reply/information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.10.2022. The respondent has stated the following:

- Point-1 - As per the respondent, the payment is made by the FSO on its own and the reimbursement claim is sent to the accounting department.
- Point-2 - No special budget is provided. The payment is made through the head office from its consolidated budget.
- Point-3 - No separate audit is being done.

The PIO has also sent a copy of the reply to the Commission which has been taken on record.

Having gone through the RTI application and reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Ishwar Kumar, C/o Sh Jagtar Singh,
1685/36, Public Colony, Ropar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare,
PB, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare,
PB, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4807 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Ishwar Kumar for the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 04.02.2021 has sought information regarding the merit list of pharmacy officer (cat-SC(R&O) – Panel list/list of candidates joined for the post of pharmacy officer according to the number of posts (48) – posts vacant as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 12.03.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ropar. As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that since the recruitment process was not complete, the information was not provided and the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.03.2021.

The Commission has received a copy of a letter dated 10.03.2022 from the PIO on 16.03.2022 vide which it has been informed that the information cannot be provided as per notification of the Department of Governance Reforms and Public Grievances dated 09.08.2021.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the appellant has sought information regarding the merit list of the candidates who applied for a job in the Govt department, which is neither 3rd party nor the personal information of any persons, hence it cannot be denied. The appellant is not asking for marks sheets of the candidates but a merit list which is created for seeking a government job and there is a clear distinction between a mark sheet and an evaluation report/merit list. A mark sheet unless used for obtaining a job remains a personal document, whereas the merit list is a list of candidates who have scored more than the cut off marks and have been selected for the next stage after an interview or the job. Moreover, It is a common practice to display merit lists on notice boards.

Appeal Case No. 4807 of 2021

From the facts above, it is clear that the PIO has wrongfully interpreted the circular. Hence the PIO is directed to provide information on all points within 15 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above orders, no further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4842 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 24.07.2021 has sought information regarding the report of the Committee constituted by the Civil Surgeon in July to enquire and to file a report on the death of a child during delivery in a Nursing Home. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 01.09.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 10.03.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the reply received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further interference from the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Bhushan Jain S/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H NO-392, Street No-3,
Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5228 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr. Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 06.08.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the medical bill of Rs.10398/- - sent by DC office via dairy No.2227 dated 13.07.2021 to the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana – a copy of diary register with the entry of bill enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 24.09.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 13.04.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Bhushan Jain S/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H NO-392, Street No-3,
Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5227 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr. Manu for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.07.2021 has sought information regarding details of children admitted in Govt and Private Hospitals during the Covid-19 period for treatment – children discharged – number of level-3 & 2 beds arranged as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 24.09.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 10.03.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Manjinder Pal Singh, S/o Sh Gurbakshish Singh,
R/o Vilage Hakumat Singh Wala,
Block & P.O Ghal Khurd, Tehsil & Distt Ferozepur.
Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Controller,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil supplies & Consumer Affairs Deptt,
Pb, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5109 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Taranvir Singh inspector Food Supply Talwandi Bahi for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.08.2021 has sought information regarding the name and address of blue card holders issued under Atta Dal Scheme in village Hakumat Singh wala – name and address ration card/blue cards holders with depot holder Jasvir Singh copy of the register containing the entry of ration distributed to blue card holders by Jasbir Singh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Controller, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 24.09.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. As per the respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. The respondent has also sent a copy of the acknowledgement of the appellant which has been taken on record.

The appellant is absent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Gurmej Lal,
1331-5, Street No-5,
Near Vishawkarma Bhawan,
Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, NC,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o EO, NC,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5068 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jasmer Singh, Building Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 09.07.2021 has sought information regarding copies of notice issued in the year 2017-18 under section 195 for the illegal buildings constructed in colonies – list of colonies approved – list of illegal colonies – record relating to demolishing of illegal colonies and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-NC Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 14.08.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. As per the respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 09.05.2022 with a copy of the letter to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented as well not communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Further having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.05.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner